

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/729,426	NAGATA, YOSHINORI	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Beniyam Menberu	2626	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Beniyam Menberu. (3) Mike Shea.
 (2) Kimberly Williams. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 1/10/06.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1,21 and 23-25.

Identification of prior art discussed: Takahashi (US 6424429).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.


KIMBERLY WILLIAMS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

 Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Shea pointed out that the claims require an input section wherein an image having an ID number and summary info is received and that the ID and summary are used to output the document. Takahashi is believed to teach that the image is input and no ID is extracted and no summary info is used to determine a match to output the document. While, the examiner pointed out that the keywords in Takahashi are interpreted as the summary info, upon filing a formal response to the final rejection, the examiner will reconsider the Takahashi reference.